







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/912,854	07/25/2001	Sachio Nagamitsu	MTS-3264US	6587	
7:	590 07/08/2003				
Allan Ratner			EXAMINER		
Ratner & Prestia One Westlakes, Berwyn, Suite 301 P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			BORISSOV	BORISSOV, IGOR N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3629		
•			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/912,854	NAGAMITSU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Igor Borissov	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 July 2001</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the app	alication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement				
Application Papers	ranaror crossorrroquiroment.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)∏ Some * c)∏ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority do 	cuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	pplication No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-15, 17-18, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 1-4, 6-7, 12-15, 17-18, 25-26, the term "or" renders these claims indefinite.

As per claim 11, it is confusing because it repeats the limitations of claim 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roos (US 5,699,276) in view of Johnson (US 6,169,979).

Roos teaches a method and system for providing an interface between a digital network and home electronics, comprising:

As per claims 1-4 and 27-28,

Art Unit: 3629

a power management means for detecting that a user is utilizing an appliance and for measuring the amount of power consumed by said appliance (Abstract; column 4, line 1 – column 5, line 67; column 7, lines 2-62);

a fee charging means for charging a fee for said measured amount of power consumed (Abstract; column 4, line 1 – column 5, line 67; column 7, lines 2-62);

Roos does not teach for purchasing the appliance at a special price, wherein a part of the fee paid is allocated to the manufacturer that has sold said appliance.

Johnson teaches a method and system for a computer-assisted sales system for utilities, wherein rebaits are provided for purchaing or installation more energy efficient equipment (Abstract; column 5, lines 1-24).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Roos to include purchasing the appliance at a special price, because it would allow a customer to reduce the energy consumption and utility cost.

Claims 5-10, 12-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roos and Johnson in view of Yablonowski et al. (US 6,535,859).

As per claims 5-10, Roos and Johnson teach all the limitations of claims 5-10, except that the additional fee is determined based on information concerning the degree of energy savings resulted from using said appliance.

Yablonowski et al. teach a method and system for charging a fee to an end user, wherein a power saving device is retrofitted into a user's facility, and the fee is

Art Unit: 3629

determined as a function of a difference between the original power consumption and the new power consumption (Abstract; column 7, line 2 – column 8, line 65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Roos and Johnson to include that the additional fee is determined based on information concerning the degree of energy savings resulted from using said appliance, because it would stimulate a customer to reduce the energy consumption and utility cost.

As per claims 12-21, Yablonowski et al. teach said method and system, comprising a power management means for detecting that a user is utilizing said mode selectable apparatus in said special price mode (column 9, lines 6-17).

As per claim 22, Roos teaches said method and system, comprising a display means installed in the home of said user, which receives said measurement information prepared by said automatic measurement means and which displays the fee (column 5, lines 30-43).

As per claim 23-26, Roos teaches said method and system, comprising a human body detection means for detecting whether or not a human body is present and a security means for giving notification in order for crime prevention or for disaster prevention based on said detection result (column 9, lines 17-27).

As per claim 23-26, Yablonowski et al. teach said method and system, comprising an energy saving control means for energy saving control based on a human body detection (column 6, lines 35-45).

As per claim 29, Yablonowski et al. teach said method and system, comprising:

Art Unit: 3629

providing a portion of the calculated fee charged to the user to an installer of the power

measurement unit (Abstract; column 7, lines 2 – column 8, line 65).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at

telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600